

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,078	06/26/2003	Alexander I. Gilevich	1413	4258	
7590 02/01/2007 J. E. McTaggart			EXAMINER		
Suite 105			FORD, JOHN K		
1860 Eastman Avenue Ventura, CA 93003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3744		
			NAME TO SERVICE OF THE PARTY OF	DEL WEDV MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/606,078	GILEVICH, ALEXANDER I.
Examiner	Art Unit
John K. Ford	3744

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
before the I ming of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	John K. Ford	3744						
The MAILING PATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED ¹² FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a)months from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	hs of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
•	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	ex low web.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	? Applicant's representative had	changed claim I)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	ovided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ell as modifiens claim 7 (w)	hich the Examin	rec was					
Claim(s) objected to:	ellas modifying claim 7 (w' to allow with one mino	r change set fi	refum page					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: '/ \\\	1 13-11 OF TWE (2/01/00 ONN)	(ac activity for .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	n. New issue: tubular tots	lly exposed dire	offy deleting					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	of he entered and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to brovide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(0)(1)								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the property	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	led					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Av 3744.								
13. St Other: Applicant is encouraged to question his representative Mr. Mctogrant								
as to way claim I (with the is manufacturing. The Examinu is not going to start examining								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Nother: Applicant is encouraged to question his representative Mr. Mctagant as to why claim 7 (with the small change suggested by the Examine) would not give him palent protection on what he is manufacturing. The Examiner is not going to start examining the newest claims at this late stage of prosecution and the propart submitted, while appreciated is long overdue. Something that Mr. Mctagant is responsible for. 15. Patent and Trademark Office								
S. Patent and Trademark Office								